

# Introduction to non-commutative analysis and integration

Fedor Sukochev

July 10, 2017

## General notations

Fix throughout a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space  $H$ . We let  $B(H)$  denote the algebra of all bounded operators on  $H$ . For a compact operator  $T$  on  $H$ , let  $\mu(k, T)$  denote  $k$ -th largest singular value (these are the eigenvalues of  $|T|$ ). The sequence  $\mu(T) = \{\mu(k, T)\}_{k \geq 0}$  is referred to as to the singular value sequence of the operator  $T$ . The standard trace on  $B(H)$  is denoted by  $\text{Tr}$ .

Fix an orthonormal basis in  $H$  (the particular choice of a basis is inessential). We identify the algebra  $l_\infty$  of bounded sequences with the subalgebra of all diagonal operators with respect to the chosen basis. For a given sequence  $\alpha \in l_\infty$ , we denote the corresponding diagonal operator by  $\text{diag}(\alpha)$ .

## Principal ideals $\mathcal{L}_{p,\infty}$

Let  $\mathcal{L}_{p,\infty}$  be the principal ideal in  $B(H)$  generated by the element  $A_0 = \text{diag}(\{(k+1)^{-\frac{1}{p}}\}_{k \geq 0})$ . Equivalently,

$$\mathcal{L}_{p,\infty} = \{A : \sup_{k \geq 0} (k+1)^{\frac{1}{p}} \mu(k, A) < \infty\}.$$

In Noncommutative Geometry, a compact operator  $A$  is called an infinitesimal of order  $\frac{1}{p}$  if

$$\mu(k, A) = O((k+1)^{-\frac{1}{p}}), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

In other words,  $\mathcal{L}_{p,\infty}$  is the set of all infinitesimals of order  $\frac{1}{p}$ .

# Traces on $\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}$

## Definition

A linear functional  $\varphi : \mathcal{L}_{1,\infty} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is called trace if  $\varphi(AB) = \varphi(BA)$  for every  $A \in \mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}$  and for every  $B \in B(H)$ .

Equivalently, a linear functional is a trace if it is unitarily invariant:

$$\varphi(U^{-1}AU) = \varphi(A), \quad A \in \mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}, \quad U \in B(H), \quad U^*U = UU^* = 1.$$

There exists a plethora of traces on  $\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}$ . The most famous ones are Dixmier traces (to be constructed further in this lecture).

# States

## Definition

Positive linear functional  $\omega : l_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is called state if  $\omega(1) = 1$ .

Each state is automatically bounded functional.


If a state is order-continuous, then it is called normal. All normal states are given by the formulae

$$x \rightarrow \sum_{k \geq 0} x(k)a(k), \quad x \in l_\infty,$$

for some positive  $a \in l_1$  such that  $\|a\|_1 = 1$ . These normal states were used in naive construction of Quantum Theory.

Algebra  $l_\infty$  is a non-separable Banach space and so is its dual  $(l_\infty)^*$ . Since  $l_1$  is separable, it follows that there exist non-normal states. In fact, there are  $2^{2^{\mathbb{N}}}$  states<sup>1</sup> and only  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$  normal states.

In this lecture, we deal with states which are not normal.

<sup>1</sup>M. Nakamura, S. Kakutani, Banach limits and the Čech compactification of a countable discrete set, Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo, **19** (1943), 224–229. 

## Singular states

Let  $c_0 \subset l_\infty$  be the collection of all sequences converging to 0.

### Definition

A state  $\omega$  on  $l_\infty$  is called singular if it vanishes on  $c_0$ .

Let us show that singular states do exist. Consider a subspace  $\mathbb{C} + c_0 \subset l_\infty$ . Every element  $x$  in this subspace is *uniquely* written as  $x = x_1 + x_2$ , where  $x_1 \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $x_2 \in c_0$ . Set

$$\omega(x) = x_1, \quad x \in \mathbb{C} + c_0 \subset l_\infty.$$

It is obvious that  $\omega(x) \leq \limsup(x)$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{C} + c_0 \subset l_\infty$ . By the Hahn-Banach theorem, there exists an extension of  $\omega$  to  $l_\infty$  such that  $\omega(x) \leq \limsup(x)$  for every  $x \in l_\infty$ . Applying the inequality to  $-x$ , we obtain that  $\omega(x) \geq \liminf(x)$  for every  $x \in l_\infty$ . In particular,  $\omega$  is positive. By definition,  $\omega(1) = 1$  and, so,  $\omega$  is a state. By definition,  $\omega$  vanishes on  $c_0$  and is, therefore, singular.

# Dixmier traces: definition. I

## Definition

Let  $\omega$  be a singular state on  $l_\infty$ . Define a functional  $\text{tr}_\omega$  on the positive cone of  $\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}$  by setting

$$\text{tr}_\omega(A) = \omega\left(\left\{\frac{1}{\log(n+2)} \sum_{k=0}^n \mu(k, A)\right\}_{n \geq 0}\right), \quad 0 \leq A \in \mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}.$$

This functional is *a priori* non-linear. Nevertheless, our task is to show how to extend  $\text{tr}_\omega$  to be a linear functional.

## Dixmier traces: definition. II

### Theorem

*For every singular state  $\omega$ , the functional  $\text{tr}_\omega$  is additive and homogeneous on the positive cone of  $\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}$ .*

Every additive and homogeneous functional on the positive cone of  $\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}$  admits a unique *linear* extension to the whole  $\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}$ .

### Definition

The extension of  $\text{tr}_\omega$  to  $\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}$  by linearity is unitarily invariant (and is, therefore, a trace). We call  $\text{tr}_\omega$  a Dixmier trace.

Our further aim is to prove the above Theorem.



# Proofs. I

We use the following inequalities without proof. Interested reader is referred to p.85 in [LSZ].

## Theorem

If  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are positive compact operators, then

①

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \mu(k, A_1 + A_2) \leq \sum_{k=0}^n \mu(k, A_1) + \mu(k, A_2).$$

②

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \mu(k, A_1) + \mu(k, A_2) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{2n+1} \mu(k, A_1 + A_2).$$

# Proofs. II

By the Theorem on previous slide, we have

$$0 \leq \sum_{k=0}^n \mu(k, A_1) + \mu(k, A_2) - \mu(k, A_1 + A_2) \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n+1} \mu(k, A_1 + A_2).$$

Suppose now that positive elements  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are in  $\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}$ . We have  $A_1 + A_2 \in \mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}$  and, therefore,

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{2n+1} \mu(k, A_1 + A_2) \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n+1} \frac{\|A_1 + A_2\|_{1,\infty}}{k+1} \leq \|A\|_{1,\infty} \cdot \log(2).$$

## Proofs. II

By the preceding slide, we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \mu(k, A_1 + A_2) = O(1) + \sum_{k=0}^n \mu(k, A_1) + \mu(k, A_2).$$

Hence,

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{\log(n+2)} \sum_{k=0}^n \mu(k, A_1 + A_2) \right\} \in c_0 +$$

$$+ \left\{ \frac{1}{\log(n+2)} \sum_{k=0}^n \mu(k, A_1) \right\} + \left\{ \frac{1}{\log(n+2)} \sum_{k=0}^n \mu(k, A_2) \right\}.$$

Since  $\omega$  vanishes on  $c_0$ , the assertion follows.

## Abstract description of Dixmier traces

Firstly, we define the Hardy-Littlewood pre-order  $\prec\prec$ . We say that  $B \prec\prec A$  if

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \mu(k, B) \leq \sum_{k=0}^n \mu(k, A), \quad n \geq 0.$$

The assertion below is implicitly contained in KSS and SS.

### Theorem

*A positive normalised trace on  $\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}$  is Dixmier if and only if, on the positive cone of  $\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}$ , it is monotone with respect to the Hardy-Littlewood pre-order.*

# Basic properties of traces

- 1 Every Dixmier trace is positive
- 2 Every positive trace is continuous with respect to the natural quasi-norm on  $\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}$
- 3 Every continuous trace is a linear combination of 4 positive traces.
- 4 There are positive traces which are not Dixmier traces
- 5 There exist discontinuous traces
- 6 There are  $2^{2^{\mathbb{N}}}$  positive traces

Some of this is proved in subsequent sections.